

1 Robert A. Mittelstaedt (State Bar No. 60359)
2 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
3 Craig E. Stewart (State Bar No. 129530)
4 cestewart@JonesDay.com
5 David C. Kiernan (State Bar No. 215335)
6 dkiernan@jonesday.com
7 Roberta D. Tonelli (State Bar No. 278738)
8 rtonelli@JonesDay.com
9 JONES DAY
10 555 California Street, 26th Floor
11 San Francisco, CA 94104
12 Telephone: (415) 626-3939
13 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700

14 Attorneys for Defendant
15 INTUIT INC.

16
17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18
19 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

20
21 **IN RE HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
22 ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

23 **THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:**
24
All Actions

25 **Case No. 11-CV-2509 LHK**

26 **INTUIT INC., PIXAR, AND
27 LUCASFILM, LTD.'S JOINT
MOTION REQUESTING ENTRY OF
AMENDED FINAL JUDGMENT**

28 Date Consolidated Amended Compl.
Filed: September 13, 2011

29
30 Defendants Intuit Inc., Pixar, and Lucasfilm, Ltd. (collectively the “Settled Defendants”)
31 respectfully request that the Court amend the final judgment entered on June 9, 2014 (ECF No.
32 936) between Plaintiffs and the Class and the Settled Defendants.

33 1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b), when adjudicating a case
34 involving multiple claims or multiple parties, “the court may direct entry of a final judgment as to
35 one or more, but fewer than all, claims or parties *only if the court expressly determines that there*
36 *is no just reason for delay.*” Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b) (emphasis added).

37 2. In its May 16, 2014 Order (ECF No. 915), the Court granted final approval of the
38 class action settlements with Settled Defendants, dismissed this action with prejudice as against
39 the Settling Defendants, and found final judgment appropriate in this action as between Plaintiffs

Motion Requesting Entry of Amended Final Judgment
CASE NO. 11-CV-2509 LHK

and the Class and Settling Defendants. The Court entered Final Judgment on June 9, 2014 (ECF No. 936).

3. Having fully and finally resolved all claims between Plaintiffs and the Class and Settling Defendants, there is no just reason for delaying entry of final judgment with respect to these claims and parties, and the Settled Defendants hereby request that the Court enter an amended final judgment, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(a) and (b). The Settled Defendants have conferred with Plaintiffs, and Plaintiffs consent to this request. A proposed amended final judgment is attached.

Dated: June 9, 2014

JONES DAY

By _____ /s/ David C. Kiernan

David C. Kiernan

Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.

Dated: June 9, 2014

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

Emily Johnson Henn
333 Twin Dolphin Dr., Suite 700
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 632-4700
Facsimile: (650) 632-4800

Deborah A. Garza
John W. Nields Jr.
Thomas A. Isaacson
Chinue Richardson
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20004
Telephone: (202) 662-6000
Facsimile: (202) 662-6291

Attorneys for Defendants PIXAR and LUCASFILM LTD.

ATTESTATION: The filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.